This article explores the current organization of the South African bar and describes the legal education system and the licensing requirements for both attorneys and advocates, as well as those for foreign attorneys. Interspersed throughout the article are discussions of the system’s strengths and weaknesses, particularly in light of the transformation required after the end of apartheid, including some of the key challenges still facing South Africa.

**The Legal System of South Africa**

South Africa’s legal system has always been complex because its foundation includes elements of English common law, Roman-Dutch law, and indigenous African law. Like England, it also has a divided bar of attorneys and advocates, the latter doing all but the most simple work in court and therefore traditionally becoming judges. Under apartheid, the legal profession, like all other institutions, was segregated, which meant there were separate law schools for whites and nonwhites, and courthouses had separate entrances, halls, rooms, and counsel tables for black and white members of the profession and the public. Not surprisingly, the bar included very few members of color despite the fact that South Africa’s population is about 79% black African, 9% colored, 3% Indian, and 9% white.

Formal apartheid ended in 1994 when Nelson Mandela, who was a lawyer, was elected president in the country’s first truly democratic elections. A permanent constitution was then enacted in 1996 to replace the interim one that had been negotiated between the government and the African National Congress and its allies. Parallel changes to the legal profession included the integration of university law faculties, the updating of curriculum, and the amendment of the Legal Practice Act regarding admission to the bar.

For example, the requirement that applicants for admission as advocates and attorneys pass examinations in English and Afrikaans was abolished, and a Legum Baccalaureus (LL.B.) was made the universal educational requirement for admission to either branch of the legal profession. In addition, legal practice schools were started in 1994 to increase preparation for and access to the legal profession, a community service option was instituted as an alternative to the two-year apprenticeship requirement that excluded many black law graduates from entering the profession, the professional bodies that regulate the bar examination and admission were integrated, and many formerly segregated legal organizations were merged. An additional reform currently being debated in Parliament would do away with the divided bar. As a result of these changes, the diversity of the profession has significantly increased; however, much remains to be done, and legal educators, attorneys, and advocates must continue to play key roles in this transformation process.
LEGAL EDUCATION IN SOUTH AFRICA

Legal education in South Africa has two distinct phases functioning in tandem to prepare candidates for admission to legal practice. The first, described in this section, consists of a four-year LL.B. degree conferred by one of the 18 university law faculties. This has been the system since 1998, when South African law schools began to offer the same degree for those planning to be either attorneys or advocates. The second, described in the following two sections, consists of some form of post-law-school apprenticeship, coursework, and a bar examination designed to prepare candidates and assess their qualifications for admission as either attorneys or advocates.

The LL.B. Curriculum

When the four-year LL.B. degree was adopted in 1998, the law school deans agreed that each school would design a curriculum based on 26 core courses that would include the teaching of legal skills, values, and sensitivity to diversity in addition to substantive law. In 2002 the four-year undergraduate LL.B. degree was registered by the South African Qualification Authority, the national accreditation authority for all university education, and exit-level outcomes for law graduates were specified.

The core curriculum includes all the courses taught in the first year at a U.S. law school. In addition, there are basic courses which are considered electives in the United States plus, at most law schools, practical skills training, a moot court requirement that is normally part of a legal practice course, and a clinical law experience. Indeed, all South African law schools have live client clinics. Therefore, even students who decide not to practice law have the opportunity or requirement (whether the course is optional or required depends on the law school) to learn some basics of legal practice before graduating from law school. At the University of KwaZulu-Natal, for instance, a clinical experience is required for all final-year students, who can choose between representing clients and taking a course at the Campus Law Clinic, teaching high school students as part of the Street Law program, or completing a course that teaches them how to teach legal skills to first-year students.

Problem Areas in Legal Education

The historic inequalities in students’ educational backgrounds caused by apartheid constitute by far the biggest problem in legal education. This is not surprising since educational statistics from the last 13 years of that era “reflect gross inequalities in per capita spending, pupil-teacher ratios, teacher pay scales, school facilities, compulsory education requirements, school attendance practices, secondary school matriculation rates, and university entrance examinations.” As a result of deficiencies in writing and basic numeracy skills, more law students from disadvantaged educational backgrounds experience difficulties during law school and on the bar examination and ultimately are unprepared for legal practice.
Two factors inhibiting remediation of this problem are that at most law schools classes other than the clinical and skills classes mentioned earlier are taught in English or Afrikaans, and they are taught in a large lecture format. The language issue contributes to the poor pass rates because students are being taught in their second or third language, while the lecture format makes it difficult to use the pedagogy necessary to address individual problems, such as writing deficits.

In response to this ongoing problem, the South African Law Deans’ Association has commissioned an empirical study to both appraise the state of legal education in South Africa and suggest solutions. Even before its completion, legal educators have begun developing curricula and pedagogies in an attempt to improve poor student pass rates. For example, several law schools have replaced the survey course taught in a large lecture format that formerly comprised the first-year law curriculum with a skills-oriented class, taught primarily in small sections, that emphasizes the development of writing, numeracy, and computer skills.

Despite these changes, law schools will still need to do more to remediate the deficiencies of primary and secondary education for many students. Findings of the empirical study currently under way will hopefully lay out a blueprint for change; but success can only be accomplished if there is a significant increase in resources to law schools from the government or from donors and if those resources are used creatively and effectively.

THE LICENSING OF ADVOCATES AND THE NATIONAL BAR EXAMINATION

What Advocates Do

In South Africa’s divided bar, advocates handle most litigation, even though they constitute only about 13% of practicing lawyers. Only advocates can appear before the country’s Supreme and Constitutional Courts. Their work focuses on research, drafting opinions and pleadings, and presenting cases in court. An advocate cannot solicit a case directly from a client. It is the attorney, not the client, who approaches the advocate and who instructs the advocate regarding the case.

No specialties exist for advocates in South Africa. However, after at least 10 years of practice, advocates of proven experience and skill may be appointed as senior consultus, also known as silks because of the traditional silk robes they wear. Regional bar associations make recommendations as to who should be appointed as silks to the president of South Africa, who makes the appointments. Silks are therefore considered the leaders of the profession, and judges traditionally are chosen from their ranks.

Admission Requirements

To become an advocate, a candidate must meet the following requirements:

1. be over 21 years of age and a “fit and proper person,”
2. be “duly qualified” (defined as having completed an LL.B. degree),
3. be either a South African citizen or a permanent resident, and
4. apply to be removed from the roll of attorneys if the applicant has been admitted as an attorney in any jurisdiction.

The process to become an advocate involves three steps: obtaining an LL.B. degree, completing one year of pupilage, and passing the National Bar Examination. Even though there is no statutory requirement to become a member of a bar association,
the traditional and most recognized route to becoming an advocate requires admission to one. This is so because the General Council of the Bar (GCB), a national body, and the 12 regional bar associations regulate and represent advocates and administer admission to the profession.

As an alternative, the Association of Independent Advocates of South Africa was formed in 1994 to represent advocates who do not become members of the “traditional” bar. Despite their independence, these advocates are still subject to discipline by the High Court, which has supervisory jurisdiction over all legal professionals based on complaints filed by either the GCB or the regional bar associations. The latter do not have the power to suspend or remove advocates from the roll of advocates, but the admitting bar association can make an application to a court, which has the power to do so.

**Professional Training**

The training to become an advocate, including a one-year pupillage, is provided by the regional bar associations. During this time, pupils are closely monitored by mentors, who must have at least five years of experience as advocates, and supervisors, who come from the ranks of silks. The supervisors are required to meet with pupils on a bimonthly basis and are charged with ensuring that pupils are properly mentored and that they fulfill all pupillage requirements.

**Each pupil is required to maintain a diary of all activities and work performed, whether it was performed with a mentor or with other members of the bar, and he or she is encouraged to maintain a file containing samples of documents that the pupil will use later in practice. Pupils are also entitled to and encouraged to appear in court and must complete a course of practical exercises based on a workbook manual.**

Application for pupilage generally takes place prior to completion of the LL.B. and includes application for admission as an advocate. Pupilage generally begins on January 15 and runs through the end of December. Pupils perform both general work and specifically prescribed work under the supervision of their mentors, and all of the pupils’ work is reviewed. In addition, pupils attend a lecture series, participate in advocacy training exercises, and perform written exercises. Each pupil is required to maintain a diary of all activities and work performed, whether it was performed with a mentor or with other members of the bar, and he or she is encouraged to maintain a file containing samples of documents that the pupil will use later in practice. Pupils are also entitled to and encouraged to appear in court and must complete a course of practical exercises based on a workbook manual.

During the month of July, pupils prepare themselves for the National Bar Examination, which is administered in August. If the pupil passes the examination, he or she must complete an Advocacy Training Course in November, and the month of December is used for further mentoring and preparation for practice. Once a pupil has passed the National Bar Examination, the pupil is permitted to appear independently in court and accept briefs from attorneys, provided that the pupil is supervised by the mentor and discloses to the attorney, the judge, and the court his or her status as a pupil.
Failure of the bar examination is considered failure of pupilage. Therefore, if a pupil fails the bar examination, he or she must repeat the entire year of pupilage. Pupils are limited to two periods of pupilage, with a third permitted if special circumstances exist.\textsuperscript{39}

The National Bar Examination

The National Bar Examination, which is held in August of each year, consists of six parts: Legal Writing, which is an open-book exam, and Motion Court, Ethics, Criminal Procedure, Civil Procedure for the High Court, and Civil Procedure for the Magistrate’s Court, which are all closed-book exams.\textsuperscript{40} The written exams are graded internally and then moderated by a panel of three judges. Based on the results, some applicants may be required to take oral examinations in September in order to give those students who did not do well on the written examination the opportunity to show that they should pass the bar examination.\textsuperscript{41} The time between the written and oral portions of the examination is used for remedial teaching.\textsuperscript{42}

If a pupil fails the bar examination but has passed any subject with a grade of 65% or better, he or she is exempted from retaking that portion of the exam. However, he or she will still have to repeat the one-year pupilage since, as noted earlier, failing the bar examination results in failing pupilage.\textsuperscript{43} The overall bar exam pass rate in 2007 increased to 89\%,\textsuperscript{44} with some regional bars actually obtaining a 100\% pass rate.\textsuperscript{45} However, the main reason for failing pupilage continues to be failure of the bar examination.

Problems with the Advocate Licensing System

Although there has been much praise for the workbook portion of the pupilage course, the lecture series has been the subject of criticism from both pupils and those involved in their training.\textsuperscript{46} Among the complaints are that the lectures do not focus on the syllabus and that the participants are unable to ask questions of the lecturer. In response, an experiment is currently under way to replace lecturers with “facilitators” who teach small groups of pupils using practical exercises. Interaction with both the facilitators and other pupils is encouraged, and feedback is provided on each pupil’s performance.\textsuperscript{47}

There are also many criticisms of the bar examination. Chief among these is that, except for the legal writing section, it tests academic knowledge and pupils’ ability to memorize large volumes of rules and principles rather than their ability to perform as advocates.\textsuperscript{48} One consequence of this type of testing is that many candidates who are highly rated by their respective regional bars and who are competent to begin practice fail the exam, often by just a few points.\textsuperscript{49}

In addition, since failure in just one subject area of the bar exam can mean complete failure of pupilage, and since pupils are given no credit for the practical work they perform under their mentors’ supervision or for the training they receive,\textsuperscript{50} an examination-only testing system is not seen as a complete or fair way to judge competence. Indeed, critics argue that it may exclude some candidates who are fit to be advocates and admit some who are not.\textsuperscript{51} Even more consequential is the fact that since few pupils have the resources or stamina to repeat the entire year of pupilage, this failure effectively bars them from the more recognized means of admission to the profession.

Two key contributors to the system\textsuperscript{52} have suggested a number of changes to the National Bar Examination and the system of pupilage, including
• giving supplementary examinations in individual subjects for pupils who fail one or more of the subjects,

• viewing the examination not as a requirement for entry to the profession but as a way to identify pupils who need further training before being admitted to practice,\(^{53}\)

• weighting each portion of the examination so that failure in a single subject does not necessarily result in complete failure of the exam, and

• assigning credits to each portion of pupillage, to be considered along with the bar exam score in determining competency.\(^{54}\)

Others have suggested even more extensive changes such as

• making the entire bar examination an open-book exam;\(^{55}\)

• standardizing pass scores (for example, a firm 50% pass score);

• enhancing supervision and monitoring of pupils and establishing record keeping so that those pupils who need extra assistance can be detected and so that mentors can be made more accountable;\(^{56}\) and

• providing extra attention to pupils coming from high-risk categories (such as pupils with no prior legal experience either as attorneys, legal advisors, or public prosecutors, pupils whose first language is not English, and pupils who attended a former homeland university).\(^{57}\)

Despite the fact that there have been major changes in the professional training of advocates and in the bar examination since the end of apartheid, further improvements clearly are needed in order to open the profession to all law graduates. South Africa is fortunate to have competent experts available to help redesign the system. What is needed now is support and resources from bar and government leaders to implement the needed changes.

**The Licensing of Attorneys and the Attorneys’ Admission Examination**

**What Attorneys Do**

Eighty-seven percent of law graduates who are licensed in South Africa become attorneys rather than advocates.\(^{58}\) Their practice consists of consulting with clients directly and performing the bulk of everyday legal work\(^{59}\) such as drafting legal documents, negotiating settlements, preparing cases for presentation in court,\(^{60}\) and conducting trials in the lower courts and upon application in the High Court.\(^{61}\) When necessary, they brief advocates for litigation. They are usually general practitioners, working in firms or sometimes independently. With advanced study, attorneys may qualify for two specialized licenses: the Notary Public and the Conveyancer, or property attorney. These require the successful completion of examinations regarding the law, practice, functions, duties, and procedures associated with the specialty.\(^{62}\)

**Admission Requirements**

Requirements for admission as an attorney include

1. being a citizen or permanent resident of South Africa, at least 21 years of age, and a “fit and proper person”;\(^{63}\)

2. obtaining an LL.B. from or recognized by a South African university;\(^{64}\)

3. completing either (a) articles of clerkship (a form of apprenticeship) for two years, (b) a four-month practical training course and one
year of community service or articles, or (c) two years of community service;
4. completing a five-week legal training course; and
5. passing the Attorneys’ Admission Examination administered by the relevant regional law society.

To be permitted to practice, an attorney must also possess a Fidelity Fund Certificate, issued by his or her corresponding regional law society, the purpose of which is to insure against misuse of client trust funds.

The Law Society of South Africa (LSSA) is the national representative umbrella body for attorneys, but regional law societies are the bodies that license, regulate, and discipline attorneys. To carry out these functions, the four regional law societies are empowered to investigate cases of unprofessional, dishonorable, or unworthy conduct on the part of any attorney, notary public, conveyancer, person serving articles or clerkship, or former candidate attorney. If a law society finds an attorney guilty of misconduct, it can fine, reprimand, or either temporarily or permanently suspend the attorney.

Professional Training

Articles of Clerkship

Until 1994, one of the requirements for admission as an attorney was to complete articles of clerkship. As explained later in this section, alternative paths have since been implemented that allow candidates to either reduce or eliminate this requirement.

To complete articles, the candidate attorney, or graduate, finds a practicing attorney with at least three years of experience to act as a principal, or supervisor, to prepare the candidate for the practice of law. The candidate attorney and the principal sign a contract that contains an exchange of promises, including a pledge by the candidate attorney to use diligence, honesty, and confidentiality, while the principal agrees to provide proper instruction in the areas of legal practice, ethics, and understanding. The candidate attorney is required to keep a diary of the training he or she receives that must be available to both the principal and the law society in the province where he or she is located. The law society at least nominally oversees the process and is the authority that ultimately certifies the candidate attorney.

Finally, the principal agrees to use his or her best efforts to assist the candidate attorney in gaining admission, assuming successful completion of the period of articles.

Since it is the candidate attorney’s responsibility to find the law firm or lawyer for articles, the practical effect of this requirement has been to make it more difficult for nonwhite law graduates to obtain admission as attorneys. Consequently, reforms were instituted in 1994 to provide alternatives to
articles that allow for greater access to the profession. Law graduates can now fulfill the two-year articles requirement through either a four-month practical training course and one year of articles or community service, or two years of community service. Community service is defined as full-time service related to the application of the law at a legal clinic or an office of the Legal Aid Board.

Practical Training Course

The practical training course that qualifies the candidate attorney for a reduction of time in serving articles was developed by the Legal Education and Development Division (L.E.A.D.) of the LSSA. (It actually runs for five months, although the statute only requires four.) An added bonus for those who take the course is that they are exempted from taking the five-week legal training course, which is otherwise one of the requirements for admission.

The five-month course consists of four modules that focus on practical skills required during practice. The pedagogy is designed to be more clinical, and instructors use simulations and other teaching methodologies designed to prepare lawyers for practice. Students are divided into “firms” that work together to complete assigned tasks in competition with other similarly constituted firms in mock litigation. Assessments are provided at the end of each module, with emphasis placed on the learning of skills.

The instructors are often either members of university law faculties who have practical legal experience, or full-time practicing attorneys. They are paid at a higher rate than are teachers of university courses, so such instructorships are prized positions. According to graduates, the quality of the courses varies depending on how grounded the faculty members are in clinical teaching methodology and how much time they have to devote to students.

Legal Training Course

The third statutory admission requirement for all candidate attorneys, except for those who have completed the five-month practical training course, is a five-week legal training course. The course consists of two modules and is practice oriented, designed to supplement the training received during articles or community service regarding the knowledge, skills, and attitude of a competent attorney and to prepare candidates for the Attorneys’ Admission Examination (although the course is not required prior to sitting for the Attorneys’ Admission Examination). L.E.A.D. has developed a training course that satisfies the statutory requirement, and it, rather than the regional law societies, administers the course. The course is offered at 12 different centers located throughout South Africa, 11 of which are attached to universities.

The Attorneys’ Admission Examination

The fourth requirement, the Attorneys’ Admission Examination, though administered by the four regional law societies, is prepared by the Examinations Committee of the LSSA and is therefore a national examination. To sit for the Attorneys’ Admission Examination, a candidate must have completed at least six months of articles, the community service requirement, or the four-month practical training course.

The exam consists of four sections called papers and is offered twice a year over a period of two days. Unlike those taking bar exams in the United States, candidates do not have to complete all portions of the exam in a designated order during a single exam period; they have the option of completing the four
papers in any sequence. If a candidate fails any of the four papers, he or she may take that paper again at another sitting.

The Attorneys’ Admission Examination is a practical test of candidates’ ability to draft legal documents, pleadings, motions, and affidavits as well as their knowledge of legal procedure and ethics. Compared to the bar exam in the United States, the Attorneys’ Admission Examination can perhaps be viewed as a hybrid between the exam given to law school graduates and the Attorneys’ Examination given in some U.S. jurisdictions to attorneys who have already been admitted in a different jurisdiction.

The four papers cover practice and procedure in the High and Magistrates’ Courts; criminal procedure and motor vehicle accidents; the practice, function, and duties of an attorney, including administration of estates; and attorney’s bookkeeping. A score of 40% or less on a paper is failing. If a candidate scores between 40% and 49% on any paper, he or she is required to complete an oral examination on the subject. A score of 50% or more generally exempts the candidate from an oral examination.

Problems with the Attorney Licensing System
Problems exist at every level of the attorney licensing process in South Africa. As has been described earlier, the current system of legal education is deficient in providing the necessary skills training to equip LL.B. students for practice. The Director of Legal Education and Training for the LSSA has stated that some LL.B. graduates demonstrate a “shocking” lack of numeracy, writing, research, and computer skills.

L.E.A.D. has informed the law school deans that they must introduce coursework in the LL.B. program that addresses these deficiencies, and has introduced business writing and numeracy courses in the coursework of the legal practice schools. In addition, L.E.A.D. has recently announced a skills development initiative for practicing attorneys and LL.B. graduates.

At the professional training level, the problem with the traditional system of articles, as described previously, is the difficulty experienced by nonwhite candidate attorneys in obtaining principals to supervise them. The suggestion has been made that, because articles are generally required for admission, a state institution should administer them to ensure that there are enough positions for all candidates seeking admission. In response, the Law Society argues that the problem has been addressed by the ability to reduce the length of articles or substitute other forms of training, but the fact remains that only white candidates have the full array of alternatives available to fulfill this requirement.

Also, many candidates completing articles complain that their principals do not provide them with the required training, such as supervision in court, or, even worse, that they are never even sent to court. Some even claim disrespectful treatment by their principals. One remedy suggested is that
the Law Society monitor the principals, but it has argued that this is not cost-effective and that requiring more of practitioners who serve as principals will reduce the availability of articling positions. In addition, the Law Society argues that the required five-week legal training course for most candidate attorneys (not required for those who follow the alternate path to articles by taking the practical training course) addresses any deficiencies in practical training encountered during articles.

Critics complain that the five-week legal training course suffers from the same deficiencies as the five-month practical training course. The latter, which has proved not to be a solution to the problem of inadequate training during articles, is seen as using teaching methodologies that concentrate too much on substantive law over practice skills even though its pedagogy is supposed to be practice oriented. Additionally, some students, especially those with the least amount of practical experience, argue that they gain little insight into legal practice because the competitive nature of the course creates a situation in which those who have more experience end up performing most of the work, providing little practice to those who need it most. In fact, the director of Practical Legal Training at the LSSA states that 90% of those taking the course still feel a need for real-life practical training.

Finally, critics of the Attorneys’ Admission Examination question its relevance, arguing as in other countries such as the United States that the exam does not achieve the purpose of testing the ability to practice as an attorney but rather tests the ability to memorize substantive law and procedure.

THE LICENSING AND PRACTICE OF FOREIGN ATTORNEYS

Because South Africa has one of the most important economies on the continent, a number of international law firms and companies have offices in South Africa. Current rules allow foreign firms to open offices there under home title (the title granted by the attorney’s home jurisdiction). In that capacity, lawyers working for those firms are permitted to practice home and international law as well as international finance, project management, and arbitration. Attorneys licensed outside of South Africa are not, however, permitted to appear in court or practice in conjunction with or enter into fee-sharing arrangements with South African firms. They are considered to be practicing foreign law and are therefore considered to be legal consultants and not attorneys or advocates; they are also not subject to discipline by the law societies.

In order for foreign lawyers to be permitted to practice in South African courts, they must have completed the same process as South African attorneys. They also must have either South African citizenship or permanent residency and satisfy the court that they are “fit and proper persons.” Limited concessions have been made to ease the process, primarily for South Africa’s neighbors. For example, the education requirement can be satisfied if a South African university’s law faculty certifies that the degree held by the applicant has a syllabus of instruction and a standard of training that is equivalent or superior to those of the South African LL.B. degree. Additionally, a degree already designated as certified from a limited number of specified countries is sufficient. (Currently, only degrees from Swaziland, Zimbabwe, and TBVC states—former homeland states during apartheid—have that
designation.) Finally, some foreign attorneys (currently those from Zimbabwe and Namibia) are exempted from some admission requirements such as articling and examination, while full cross-border practicing rights are only currently available to attorneys from Lesotho.

**CHALLENGES FOR THE FUTURE**

A controversial question currently being debated in many countries is whether to require a bar examination. South African legal education follows that of other Commonwealth countries with requirements for an LL.B. degree followed by postgraduate apprenticeship and practical training. But on the question of whether to require passage of a bar examination, the Commonwealth countries differ. Thus, Australia has no bar examination. In Scotland, those who wish to be advocates must have passed a bar examination prior to pupilage; solicitors, on the other hand, need only complete a practical training course and two years of training after the LL.B. Similarly, solicitors in England and Wales do not have to take an examination, while starting this year, prospective barristers must pass three examinations in Civil Litigation, Criminal Litigation, and Ethics.

Despite other reforms, South Africa continues to require passage of a bar examination for both attorneys and advocates. Very little change has occurred even though the exams have been severely criticized, especially because they disproportionately exclude nonwhite candidates from the profession and are seen as too academically oriented.

Another trend in other Commonwealth countries has been to merge the advocate and attorney professions into a unified bar as exists in the United States. This change is well under way in the European Union. Such a merger has been proposed in South Africa and there has been some movement in that direction with the recent expansion of attorneys’ roles to allow practice in the High Courts.

These trends and others reflect the changes in the legal profession brought about by the globalization of legal practice. The added challenge for South Africa has been and remains how to reshape the legal profession, including the judiciary, so that it is more reflective of the country’s population. Significant improvements have already been made in this regard, as described earlier. Nevertheless, if apartheid’s effect on the legal system is to be eliminated, much more needs to be done to improve both opportunities for and the quality of legal education and practical skills training for people of color. Achieving this goal will require further changes to the statutory framework and regulations of governing organizations as well as to law school curricula and postgraduate training.

---
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